For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	JOANNE CONWRIGHT,	
8	Plaintiff,	NO. C09-2572 TEH ORDER RE: REPLY ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL
9	v.	
10	CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	Plaintiff Joanne Conwright filed a motion for new trial on April 18, 2012. Defendant	
14	City of Oakland filed a timely opposition on May 1, 2012. To date, Conwright has not filed	
15	a reply, which was due on May 9, 2012. The Court construes this failure as a waiver of the	
16	reply and will proceed to consider this matter based on the papers that have been timely filed.	
17	Because the Court is uncertain at this time whether oral argument will be necessary, the	
18	hearing shall remain on calendar on June 4, 2012, unless otherwise ordered.	
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	~ d.	the foreman
22	Dated: 05/14/12	TON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
23	UNITE	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		